

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Complaint No. 15/SCIC/2016

Shri Rabindra A. L. Dias,
Dr. Pires Colony, Block "B",
Cujira, St-Cruz, Tiswadi –Goa.

.....

Appellant

V/S

1) Public Information Officer,
Mr. Anil Ringane,
The Executive Engineer, VI, Roads,
Fatorda, Margao –Goa.

.....

Opponent

CORAM : Shri. Prashant S. P. Tendolkar State Chief Information Commissioner
Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner,

Filed on:08/02/2016

Disposed on 09/01/2017

ORDER

1. This order shall dispose the present complaint filed by the complainant praying for taking cognizance of various alleged Acts of the respondents as also complaining regarding the alleged loses suffered by the complainant.

2. In short the contention of the appellant herein is that he has sought for certain information from the PIO which according to him was not furnished to him. According to complainant, PIO has committed acts liable to be published under the provisions of section 18 R/W section 20 of the Act.

...2/-

3. The version of the respondent in brief is that the information as was sought was offered to him but the complainant has failed to collect the same by effecting the payment of demanded fees.

4. The present complaint does not require elaborate discussion as in respect of the same application dated 12/11/2009 filed under section 6(1) of the Act, the complainant has filed an appeal before this commission on the same ground, being appeal No.101/SIC/2010.

5. While disposing the said appeal on 17/8/2016, this commission has found that considering the sequence of events, in response to the complainant application, dated 12/11/2009, the PIO has called him to collect the information by his letter dated 07/12/2009. As the Complainant has failed to collect the information inspite of the said letter, the same was sent to the complainant by registered A/d letter on 04/1/2010. Thus the application under section 6(1) was timely responded. It is on this ground that the said appeal was dismissed and no penalty under section 20 of the Act was invoked by the Commission. The said order has attained a finality for want of challenge.

6. The present complaint is filed on the same facts after a delay of nearly 6 years of the said appeal. Such an Act on the part of the complainant smacks of malafide.

7. Be that as it may, this commission has held in the said appeal No.101/2010 that no grounds are made out against the

PIO as required under section 20. Nothing more is required to be discussed or decided. We find no merits in the complaint.

In the circumstances the present complaint stands dismissed.

Parties to be communicated.

Pronounced in the open proceedings.

Proceedings closed.

Sd/-
(Mr. Prashant S. Prabhu Tendolkar)
State Chief Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission
Panaji-Goa

Sd/-
(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission
Panaji-Goa